

Stratospheric effects of energetic particle precipitation in 2003–2004

C. E. Randall,¹ V. L. Harvey,¹ G. L. Manney,^{2,3} Y. Orsolini,⁴ M. Codrescu,⁵ C. Sioris,⁶ S. Brohede,⁷ C. S. Haley,⁸ L. L. Gordley,⁹ J. M. Zawodny,¹⁰ and J. M. Russell III¹¹

Received 15 November 2004; revised 7 January 2005; accepted 2 February 2005; published 2 March 2005.

[1] Upper stratospheric enhancements in NO_x (NO and NO₂) were observed at high northern latitudes from March through at least July of 2004. Multi-satellite data analysis is used to examine the temporal evolution of the enhancements, to place them in historical context, and to investigate their origin. The enhancements were a factor of 4 higher than nominal at some locations, and are unprecedented in the northern hemisphere since at least 1985. They were accompanied by reductions in O₃ of more than 60% in some cases. The analysis suggests that energetic particle precipitation led to substantial NO_x production in the upper atmosphere beginning with the remarkable solar storms in late October 2003 and possibly persisting through January. Downward transport of the excess NO_x, facilitated by unique meteorological conditions in 2004 that led to an unusually strong upper stratospheric vortex from late January through March, caused the enhancements. **Citation:** Randall, C. E., et al. (2005), Stratospheric effects of energetic particle precipitation in 2003–2004, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32, L05802, doi:10.1029/2004GL022003.

1. Introduction

[2] Through dissociation and ionization processes, energetic particle precipitation (EPP) leads to routine production of odd nitrogen in the mesosphere and thermosphere, and to sporadic production in the stratosphere when very highly energetic particles are involved. EPP also indirectly affects the stratosphere via descent of EPP-produced mesospheric NO_x (NO + NO₂) to the stratosphere during polar winter and spring, where it plays a major role in ozone chemistry. EPP coupling of the upper and lower atmosphere was first examined more than two decades ago [Solomon et al.,

1982], and observational evidence for its occurrence is prevalent [e.g., Russell et al., 1984; Jackman et al., 1995; Rinsland et al., 1996; Randall et al., 1998, 2001a; Siskind et al., 2000; Callis et al., 2001; Natarajan et al., 2004 (hereinafter referred to as N04)]. EPP occurs throughout the polar night, but the stratospheric response depends strongly on the energy and number of precipitating particles (i.e., the altitude and intensity of the source), and on the efficiency of vertical transport across the stratopause.

[3] In Nov and Dec 2003, enhancements in northern hemisphere (NH) upper stratospheric HNO₃ and NO₂ were measured by the MIPAS (Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding) instrument [Orsolini et al., 2005] (hereinafter referred to as O05), and enhancements in NO₂ were measured by the GOMOS (Global Ozone Monitoring by Occultation of Stars) instrument [Seppälä et al., 2004]. These authors attributed the enhancements to NO_x produced by EPP during the unusually powerful solar storms and associated solar proton events (SPEs) of Oct–Nov 2003 (see special section on Violent Sun–Earth Connection Events of October–November 2003, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 32, 3, 2005). In Apr 2004, unprecedented enhancements in NH upper stratospheric NO_x, accompanied by significant reductions in ozone, were observed by the Halogen Occultation Experiment (HALOE). The enhancements were tentatively ascribed to indirect effects (mesospheric production followed by descent) of the Oct–Nov solar storms (N04). In this paper we describe measurements from numerous satellite instruments to provide a more comprehensive picture of EPP effects in 2003–2004 on the stratosphere. Included are data from the POAM (Polar Ozone and Aerosol Measurement) II & III, SAGE (Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment) II & III, HALOE, MIPAS, and OSIRIS (Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imager System) instruments. We examine the time dependence of NO, NO₂, and O₃ from Nov 2003 through Jul 2004, place the observations in historical context, and investigate the origin of observed NO_x enhancements.

2. Stratospheric NO_x Enhancements and O₃ Reductions in 2003–2004

[4] Figure 1 compares 2004 measurements of NO₂ (or NO_x in the case of HALOE) and O₃ at 40 km to data in previous years from four solar occultation instruments: HALOE (1991–present), SAGE II (1984–present) and POAM II & III (1994–1996 and 1998–present). Because of their mid-inclination orbits, the HALOE and SAGE II measurement latitudes vary rapidly in time, generally reaching polar latitudes for several days each month, but at different times each year (see Randall et al. [2001b] for representative latitude sampling of HALOE and SAGE II).

¹Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado, USA.

²NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA.

³Also at Department of Natural Resources Management, New Mexico Highlands University, Las Vegas, New Mexico, USA.

⁴Norwegian Institute for Air Research, Kjeller, Norway.

⁵NOAA Space Environment Center and Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences (CIRES), University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado, USA.

⁶Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA.

⁷Department of Radio and Space Science, Chalmers University of Technology, Göteborg, Sweden.

⁸Centre for Research in Earth and Space Science, York University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

⁹GATS, Inc., Newport News, Virginia, USA.

¹⁰NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia, USA.

¹¹Center for Atmospheric Sciences, Physics Department, Hampton University, Hampton, Virginia, USA.



Contour plots of three-day running average NO_2 profiles from POAM III and SAGE III are shown in Figure 4. By 1 Mar, enhanced NO_2 is evident in the SAGE III data above 45 km, and both data sets show significant enhancements at 45 km by 9 Mar. The enhanced layer descends in time during Mar and Apr, with a maximum near 38–40 km by early May. The data shown in Figures 2–4, as well as the fact that particle activity in Mar–Apr 2004 (not shown) was insufficient to produce significant NO_x directly in the stratosphere, confirm that the extraordinary spring/summer enhancements in Figure 1 arise from descent of NO_x -rich air from the mesosphere prior to the beginning of Mar.

3. Origin of the Spring/Summer NO_x Enhancements

[8] Two requirements must be satisfied to explain the 2004 NO_x enhancements: a significant source of mesospheric NO_x , and efficient descent to the upper stratosphere. The anomalous nature of the 2004 enhancements suggests that one or both of these requirements was met in an extraordinary way. The Oct–Nov 2003 solar storms were certainly exceptional, but were they the ultimate source? That significant NO_x was produced from the stratosphere to the thermosphere during the Oct–Nov 2003 solar storms is evident from numerous satellite observations [Jackman *et al.*, 2005; Seppälä *et al.*, 2004; O05]. Ideally, the descending layer of enhanced NO_2 shown in Figure 4 would be traced back in time and upward in altitude to its origin, but no reliable POAM III or SAGE III NO_2 data exist above the highest altitudes shown here. However, the measurement of NO_x ($\text{NO} + \text{NO}_2$) enables the examination of enhancements up into the mesosphere. Polar ($>60^\circ\text{N}$) NO_x data from the Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment (ACE) are available from mid-Feb to late-Mar 2004, and show an enhanced layer descending inside the vortex during this time from ~55 to 45 km (C. P. Rinsland *et al.*, ACE stratospheric measurements of NO_x and long-lived tracers during February and March 2004, submitted to *Geophysical Research Letters*, 2005). Using HALOE data, N04 also show NO enhancements inside the vortex in mid-Feb above 50 km. Further




Figure 4. Three-day running averages of (left) POAM III and (right) SAGE III SS NO_2 mixing ratios (ppbv) from 1 Feb to 31 May 2004. For Feb–Apr, only data inside the vortex is included. Measurement latitudes are shown at the top of each plot.

analysis of HALOE data (not shown) reveals late January 2004 NO enhancements greater than 200% compared to mean values in 1992–2003; the enhancements occur between 60 and 70 km, and the HALOE measurement latitude at this time was $\sim 47^\circ\text{N}$. Because of the sparse latitude sampling of solar occultation instruments, these data are not definitive. At a minimum, they suggest that the anomalous springtime enhancements originated in the polar region above 60 km before the end of January. Nevertheless, there are no satellite measurements of NO_x in the polar mesosphere/thermosphere from Nov through Jan that unambiguously connect the late Jan enhancements to the storms.

[9] This leads to the question of whether it is possible that the source of the springtime enhancements was EPP production of NO_x after, not during, the Oct–Nov storms. A major warming resulted in a breakup of the upper stratospheric vortex in late Dec 2003; the vortex re-gained strength throughout Jan 2004, and by Feb–Mar was the strongest on record since at least 1979 [Manney *et al.*, 2004]. Therefore, assuming meteorological conditions in the mesosphere mirrored those in the upper stratosphere, NO_x produced in the Oct–Nov storms that had descended to the mesosphere by late Dec probably experienced substantial mixing to lower latitudes. Here it would have been photolyzed, reducing the probability for observing enhanced NO_x in the stratosphere by Mar. On the other hand, NO_x -rich air in the polar lower mesosphere in late Jan most likely experienced less transport to midlatitudes, resulting in an unusually high probability of being transported to the stratosphere. Thus, nominal EPP that occurred well after the Oct–Nov storms, together with the unique dynamical situation in 2004, could have resulted in NO_x enhancements in the springtime upper stratosphere qualitatively similar to those seen in Figure 4. Determining whether NO_x production after the major warming, in combination with the unprecedented vortex strength, was sufficient to explain the magnitude of the anomalous springtime enhancements is the subject of future work.

4. Summary

[10] NO_x and O_3 data from numerous satellite instruments have been analyzed to present a broad overview of EPP effects on the NH stratosphere from Nov 2003 through Jul 2004. EPP effects are ubiquitous in 2003–2004 satellite data, providing a unique opportunity to test our understand-

ing of atmospheric coupling via EPP. NO_x production from the upper stratosphere through the mesosphere occurred in Nov as a direct result of strong solar storms in Oct–Nov 2003. Substantially elevated mesospheric NO_x was observed in Jan as well; whether this was a result of NO_x -rich air descending after the initial storms, or new production from smaller SPEs and energetic electrons, is still undetermined. By late Jan, the satellite data indicate a systematic descent of NO_x -rich air in the vortex that finally led to unprecedented (in the NH data sets shown here, which span 1984–present) NO_x enhancements and O_3 reductions in the upper stratospheric vortex from Mar–May 2004. The anomalies declined as the vortex broke up, but were still evident even in Jul. The extraordinary springtime NO_x enhancements and O_3 reductions are attributed to a combination of EPP and an exceptionally strong vortex from late Jan into Apr that confined NO_x -rich air to the polar region, and allowed relatively unmixed descent in the polar lower mesosphere and upper stratosphere. Detailed 3-D modeling including explicit calculation of NO_x production in the upper atmosphere by precipitating electrons and protons over a wide range of energies is necessary to definitively attribute, or not, the observed NO_x enhancements to the powerful solar storms of Oct–Nov 2003.

[11] **Acknowledgments.** We thank D. Evans, C. Jackman, M. Natarajan, and C. Rinsland for helpful discussions. CER and VLH were supported by NASA grant NNG04GF39G. Work at the JPL, Cal. Tech. was done under contract with NASA. YOR was supported by EU Commission TOPOZ-III project, and ESA CAL/VAL. Occultation data are available via the NASA EOSDIS Gateway. MIPAS data used here were near-real-time provided by ESA.

References

- Callis, L. B., M. Natarajan, and J. D. Lambeth (2001), Solar-atmospheric coupling by electrons (SOLACE): 3. Comparisons and observations, 1979–1997, issues and implications, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **106**, 7523–7539.
- Jackman, C. H., et al. (1995), Two-dimensional and three-dimensional model simulations, measurements, and interpretation of the influence of the Oct 1989 solar proton events on the middle atmosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **100**, 11,641–11,660.
- Jackman, C. H., M. T. DeLand, G. J. Labow, E. L. Fleming, D. K. Weisenstein, M. K. W. Ko, M. Sinnhuber, and J. M. Russell III (2005), Neutral atmospheric influences of the solar proton events in October–November 2003, *J. Geophys. Res.*, doi:10.1029/2004JA010888, in press.
- Manney, G. L., K. Kruger, J. L. Sabutis, S. A. Sena, and S. Pawson (2004), The remarkable 2003–2004 winter and other recent warm winters in the Arctic stratosphere since the late 1990s, *J. Geophys. Res.*, doi:10.1029/2004JD005367, in press.
- Natarajan, M., E. E. Remsberg, L. E. Deaver, and J. M. Russell III (2004), Anomalous high levels of NO_x in the polar upper stratosphere during April, 2004: Photochemical consistency of HALOE observations, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **31**, L15113, doi:10.1029/2004GL020566.
- Orsolini, Y., M. L. Santee, G. L. Manney, and C. E. Randall (2005), An upper stratospheric layer of enhanced HNO_3 following exceptional solar flares, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, doi:10.1029/2004GL021588, in press.
- Randall, C. E., D. W. Rusch, R. M. Bevilacqua, K. W. Hoppel, and J. D. Lumpe (1998), Polar Ozone and Aerosol Measurement (POAM) II stratospheric NO_2 , 1993–1996, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **103**, 28,361–28,371.
- Randall, C. E., D. E. Siskind, and R. M. Bevilacqua (2001a), Stratospheric NO_x enhancements in the Southern Hemisphere vortex in winter/spring of 2000, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **28**, 2385–2388.
- Randall, C. E., R. M. Bevilacqua, J. D. Lumpe, and K. W. Hoppel (2001b), Validation of POAM III aerosols: Comparison to SAGE II and HALOE, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **106**, 27,525–27,536.
- Rinsland, C. P., et al. (1996), ATMOS/ATLAS-3 measurements of stratospheric chlorine and reactive nitrogen partitioning inside and outside the Nov 1994 Antarctic vortex, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **23**, 2365–2368.
- Russell, J. M., III, S. Solomon, L. L. Gordley, E. E. Remsberg, and L. B. Callis (1984), The variability of stratospheric and mesospheric NO_2 in the polar winter night observed by LIMS, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **89**, 7267–7275.
- Seppälä, A., P. T. Verronen, E. Kyrölä, S. Hassinen, L. Backman, A. Hauchecorne, J. L. Bertaux, and D. Fussen (2004), Solar proton events of October–November 2003: Ozone depletion in the Northern Hemisphere polar winter as seen by GOMOS/Envisat, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **31**, L19107, doi:10.1029/2004GL021042.
- Siskind, D. E., G. E. Nedoluha, C. E. Randall, M. Fromm, and J. M. Russell III (2000), An assessment of Southern Hemisphere stratospheric NO_x enhancements due to transport from the upper atmosphere, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **27**, 329–332.
- Solomon, S., P. J. Crutzen, and R. G. Roble (1982), Photochemical coupling between the thermosphere and the lower atmosphere: 1. Odd nitrogen from 50 to 120 km, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **87**, 7206–7220.
- S. Brohede, Department of Radio and Space Science, Chalmers University of Technology, Göteborg SE-412 96, Sweden.
- M. Codrescu, NOAA Space Environment Center, 325 Broadway, Boulder, CO 80305, USA.
- L. L. Gordley, GATS, Inc., 11864 Canon Blvd., Newport News, VA 23606, USA.
- C. S. Haley, Centre for Research in Earth and Space Science, York University, 4700 Keele Street, Toronto, ON, Canada M3J 1P3.
- V. L. Harvey and C. E. Randall, Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309-0392, USA. (cora.randall@lasp.colorado.edu)
- G. L. Manney, NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109-8099, USA.
- Y. Orsolini, Norwegian Institute for Air Research, Instituttveien 18, P.O. Box 100, N-2007 Kjeller, Norway.
- J. M. Russell III, Center for Atmospheric Sciences, Physics Department, Hampton University, Hampton, VA 23668, USA.
- C. Sioris, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden St., Cambridge, MA 02138, USA.
- J. M. Zawodny, NASA Langley Research Center, Mail Stop 475, Hampton, VA 23681-0001, USA.